

# Confronting Rabbinical Authority with Rabbi Asher Meza

**Rabbi Asher Meza**



**Sha'ul bayn Yahukhenun**



By Sha'ul bayn Yahukhenun

Copyright 2013 © Roman year



“Exalting belief in our Father, the Most High **יְהוָה** (YaHUAH), through His Turah, the 5 scrolls of M'shih (Moses).”

Email – [followyah@gmail.com](mailto:followyah@gmail.com)

Website – [www.thewayofthemosthigh.ca](http://www.thewayofthemosthigh.ca)

We have debated numerous pastors, rabbis and imams throughout our walk and teaching of *The Way of the Most High*. Sometimes we have posted it in article form, other times it has been kept private. We find these religious teachers are all the same, saying anything to avoid Scriptural problems and calm the sheep that they deceitfully lead. People who love the Most High YaH with all their heart, strength and being owe it to themselves to confront Orthodox Judaism. We decided that since no one else will debate rabbis, that we would take them on. Our first debate here for The Way of the Most High is with Asher Meza. In this debate he did not answer when we asked him why he calls himself "rabbi." But we did find out by digging on his website that he "received his ordination from a prominent Jerusalem Rabbi as well as another from a Prominent Satmer institution in the state of New York."<sup>1</sup>

In this debate, we confront the supposed "authority" of the rabbis, the Sanhedrin or "Great Court" and a few other issues. There is a point where a person named Yusef steps in to help Asher as well, and the discussion with Yusef is equally as interesting and valid to the topic. Such debates as these are examples that we ought to trust the Most High and His Turah (Scripture) alone.

Asher Meza can be found on Facebook, flaunting a cigar in his profile pictures.<sup>2</sup>



Could anyone picture Abraham (Abraham) or M'shiah (Moses) with a butt in their mouth? Does YaH approve of such **strange incense (Wa'y'qora (Lev.) 10:1)**? All Adawm (Man) has entered into transgression and mistakes and it is certainly a struggle to observe the perfection of the Turah (Scripture) and the Way of YaH. But I for one would never promote transgression on my Facebook profile and give others the impression that it is somehow okay. He never repented of this matter to my knowledge.

As shown on the first page of this confrontational article, Asher Meza can be seen sporting a black hat and suit like a traditional Orthodox Jew or sometimes a kippa. But one thing you'll never catch Asher wearing are Scriptural linen clothing and head-coverings. This is true of most Orthodox Jews, who have lost touch with ancient **Scriptural examples of apparel**. This is not to say that one is commanded to do so, but the fact that most people do not wear Scriptural examples of clothing at any point in their lives says a lot to us. Our family has a great dislike for the modern modes of fashion, and at every opportunity we can, we wear what we know to be Scriptural.

<sup>1</sup> [http://www.bejewish.org/index.php?option=com\\_content&view=article&id=137&Itemid=57](http://www.bejewish.org/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=137&Itemid=57).

<sup>2</sup> <https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=65001357461&set=a.45047462461.59253.503577461&type=3>.

In our day and age, people place their trust in religious leaders like Asher Meza. Underneath the religious façade of these rabbis is a nasty underside of deliberate deception and avoidance. THEY KNOW that what they are teaching and doing does not stand up to the Turah (Scripture) alone, but they press on anyhow in traditions and commands not found in Scripture, and force people to think that only a rabbinical commentary or writing can tell them how to understand Scripture, relate to YaH, and apply Turah (Law) accurately.

This debate took place on Facebook. In the future, we may update this debate if there is further developments. For now, any failures to respond are highlighted in black and white. In this debate, the following points are conclusive thus far:

1. That Asher Meza worships "God" and "Lord" or "haShem" as opposed to worshipping YaH Most High, and so far has not used the Name of YaH in his dialogue. Moreover, we exposed to Asher Meza that "God" is a false mighty one adopted by Y'shr'Al (Israel) under captivity to Ashur (Assyria) and should not be used in reference to the Most High. Asher did not respond to this. Obviously, Orthodox Jews would have to change all their books and siddurs and TaNaK (OT) English translations if they were to renounce "God" and worship YaH by His Name. Thus, it is conclusive that we worship and follow YaH, but Asher and Orthodox Jews do not.
2. Sha'ul pointed out that Orthodox Judaism has made injunctions against the use of the Name of YaH, substituted it and forbidden the use of it throughout the centuries. Rabbi Asher Meza has not responded. Nor did anyone else on his friends' list, nor on the friends' list of Geno (who was a mutual friend).
3. Sha'ul pointed out that Orthodox Jewish "circumcision" is not the same as the "cutting of the foreskin" which Scripture speaks of. There was no response.
4. This debate was primarily dealing with the 70 elders or "Sanhedrin" or "Great Court" as was established at Hayr Seen'ee (Mt. Sinai), in texts such as D'bayrim (Deut.) 16:18. These texts were not quoted nor addressed in the dialogue. However, the general question was whether or not there was a pure leadership of Y'shr'Al (Israel) and the Sanhedrin from the time of Hayr Seen'ee (Mt. Sinai) onward. Sha'ul made the case that long after the Turah (Law) was given, the leadership of Y'shr'Al (Israel) and the Sanhedrin had fallen into mysticism (kaballah), false worship, idolatry, false judgments, traditions and commandments not found in the Turah (Law). And especially from the 1st century through the 5th century of our Common Era in Roman time, Orthodox Judaism developed an official religion completely unlike what is found in the Turah (Scripture). Sha'ul explained the departure of Orthodox Judaism from the calendar of YaH. Asher Meza nor any others had any response.
5. Sha'ul gave numerous examples from the Babylonian Talmud showing contradiction with the Turah (Scripture), which there was no response to.
6. Asher Meza asked how we would eat out if it wasn't for rabbinical instruction. Sha'ul answered by stating that Scripture gives instructions as to what we can eat and how it can be prepared. Moreover, Sha'ul stated that meat ought to be raised naturally and bled properly. Scripture says nothing of salting or of a rabbi having

- to approve that it was slaughtered properly at an exorbitant price which he pockets. **No response.**
7. Asher Meza suggested that rabbinic authority or "Mitzvah Torah" was only suggestive of what one should do. **Sha'ul stated that no one questions it, and all Orthodox Jews follow exactly what rabbinic "halachah" tells them. Thus, SUGGESTIONS are taken as if they were commanded by the Most High and thus produced a false religion promoted among the nations and taught falsely. No response.**
  8. The major contention of Asher Meza was that one needs to accept rabbinic authority for the sake of unity. He was concerned that children have something concrete to go by, and marrying others of the same when they grow up. **Sha'ul responded that when truth is slaughtered on the altar of unity, it is a false offering. Unity in falsehood is not true unity. Uniting in traditions and commands not found in Scripture is false unity. Following YaH and His Turah (Scripture) provides a foundation of life for our children. We ought not to be concerned of who they will marry. No response.**

It is our prayer that this debate will serve as an example to numerous people who follow YaH and His Turah (Scripture) alone, but perhaps are dabbling in the false mire of Orthodox Judaism. And may it serve as an example to Jews, that they may consider the words of M'shih (Moses) and return to the ancient paths of the Way of the Most High (D'bayrim (Deut.) 30:1-8). See it for what it is. Enjoy the discussion.

Sh'loom,  
Sha'ul bayn Yahukhenun

**Continued Below....**

Our debate began on a profile belonging to **GENO FRANCESCO SAPIO**, who on the Roman date of 6/19/2012 asked a very excellent question: **"What evidence is their that the last 'beth din' (great court, sanhedrin) was legitimate? By that I mean, what evidence exists that they operated on 'legitimate' or 'proper' authority. Who were the elders, judges, priests? Who appointed them?"** Geno tagged a number of people to come and join in the conversation, including Sha'ul.

**SHA'UL** began the dialogue at 10:48pm: I look forward to seeing if there will be an answer from those who were tagged. It is almost as if you read my mind Geno. **I myself have asked for a number of years what proof is there that the 70 elders transitioned into modern-day Judaism and its oral laws and claimed authority.** I have thought of doing a rebuttal to Asher Meza on this issue, but I like to do professional work, and have been very busy with other affairs. Obviously a lot of people follow his line of thought though, that a leadership was established at Seen'ee (Sinai) and that YaH told us to listen to them, and that somehow the leadership was pure and transitioned into modern Judaism. For close to 8 years I have had silence on that answer from rabbis and those whom I have discussed this issue with. In truth though, **let us pretend and assume that there was a legitimate leadership. The moment that said leadership asks us to transgress the Turah (Law) and adding to it and taking away from it, such as separating meat from dairy, then that is the moment we need to turn to YaH and His Turah (Law) alone.** A rabbi here in Hamilton, along with numerous Jews, said to my face that **originally the weekly cycle was by the moon, not the Roman 7th day** as Sh'b'ayt (Sabbath). Is this not what YaH said? Do not add or take away from the Turah (Law)? **When a person forbids us to speak and exalt the Name of YaH, is that not breaking the 3rd commandment?** Does it not bring ruin to His Name, causing His people to forget His Name for "God?" I could go on, but I did include numerous things of this nature throughout our book, and in our website articles.

**No one had any response to these challenges Sha'ul made to Orthodox Judaism.**

Given that there was no response by the next day yet, Sha'ul stated at 1:21pm that "The silence is beautiful. What I posted on my own profile serves as witness that although Jews claim to uphold 'Mishneh Torah,' they are liars. Not only that, but beyond the negative and positive commandments, their teaching of 'halacha' regarding said commandments is riddled with false teaching, adding and taking away from the Turah (Law). I've been saying this for years, but it is so much easier for people to be cowards and cower under the shadow of Orthodox Judaism than to have to serve and follow YaH on their own two feet."

**ASHER MEZA** responded on 6/20/2012 at 5:35pm: The real question we should ask is what are the **consequences of not holding by a unified opinion**, and what are the consequences of **holding by the way Judaism has held for almost 3000 years** (by a

Sanhedrin)... Does holding by the rulings of the sages change Judaism ethically in any way, or rather does it unify us in the area that really doesn't undermine the ethical principles of the Torah, meaning the ceremonial...

About the ethical authenticity of the Sanhedrin, There is no Jewish historian nor document ever found to assume that the court of 70 ever became corrupt... I will not doubt that members of this great court occasionally fell into wickedness, but in order for it to have an effect on the ruling it would have to have been 36 of them at a time, because that is how many you need to pass a ruling... And I think Shaul is confusing the stupidity of the non binding decisions that modern day Rabbis make with the rulings of the court that Hashem himself ordained and even warned us in torah that by not heeding their instructions we would be sinning against him...

The only ones who make statement like these are those who never studied Jewish Law.. Which in that sense if i had no background on the issue would also be up in arms.. Assuming how can man assume to take over were G-d left off.. however those who study Jewish law know this is not the case.. The Mitzvah (oral law) is only a commentary on Torah... In other words its here only to amplify Torah and make it keep-able in a unified manner...

Stop and take a look at those who call themselves Karaites in this thread, they are first Generation believers, they typically live in secluded areas from the rest of the world, and ultimately have nothing theologically packaged to hand over to the next generation... The purpose of the Sanhedrins rulings is to keep us on the same page ceremonially.. Thats it.. Those who out of spite chose to do something that was never ever done in Tanach (ie Live life on a self interpreted ritualistic system) will not have children who follow in their foot steps, because who's to say that you children will be as diligent to search out the scriptures as you are.. They will do what everyone else does and what man was created to do, mainly to follow.. In other words they will most likely become Muslim or Christian and nullify all your hard work... Friends if we are not united in areas of Torah that need clarification,than our whole effort in becoming religious in the first place is useless.. If we cannot function like one body bringing the world to the age of peace than Torah is useless...

The Silence is beautiful huh Shaul? I have a question for you.. I always wondered how can someone call themselves a karaite or the like and at the same time rely on so much interpretation by the sages. For example I noticed you not only hold by Torah as your guide but you include the whole Tanach as well.. Who do you think canonized the Tanach? in other words who decided what books to leave in and which ones to take out of the tanach? Who taught you how to circumcise, who taught you how to read hebrew... All these things we do and believe bec of the authoritative ceremonial Tradition of the sages...

How could you ever eat something slaughtered at someone else house when you will both have a different opinion on how it should be slaughtered.. How can you both celebrate the Sabbath or any Jewish Holiday on the same day when you keep them on a diffident day than even about 98% of all Karaites do.. Who will your children marry, where will you congregate, what prayers will you say? How does one honor their parents? What are the guidelines for whats idolatry? Are you beginning to see how absurd it is to assume that everyone was expected to understand ceremonial laws for themselves..

Before the Sanhedrin moved from the Temple mount (assuming that the exile would not be so long) they ruled that there power should extend on a mobile basis. However, this only gave them authority on on a lesser Rabbinic level, unlike the authority they held on the Temple Mount (the place that G-d chose) which made there decrees binding on a Torah level (although still being Rabbinic)... And since their disbandment no one has the authority to institute any new binding decrees...on any level...

At this point YUSEF MIKHAEL ELIAH chimed in, at 6:52pm: The last Great Sanhedrin that had authority directly from the Torah ceased to exist when this Court ceased convening on the Temple Mount. This occurred some time around 70 C.E. Before abandoning the Temple Mount, when they still had authority directly from the Torah, they decreed that their convening and decisions that would take place outside the Temple Mount would have "rabbinic" authority. It would no longer be authoritative directly from the Torah; Rather, it would be authoritative from the Torah only indirectly. In exile from the Temple Mount, they no longer had the potential to overturn previous rulings of earlier generations of the Great Sanhedrin.

You can see the chain of the generations of the Court in the introduction to the Mishne Torah: <http://www.mechon-mamre.org/e/e0000.htm>. The names of the particularly outstanding Sages of the latter generations of the Court are mentioned throughout Talmudic literature. You can see a compilation of their names in the Rambam's Intro. to the Talmud: [http://www.amazon.co.uk/Maimonides-Introduction-Talmud-Translation-Commentary/dp/1880582287/ref=sr\\_1\\_2?s=books&](http://www.amazon.co.uk/Maimonides-Introduction-Talmud-Translation-Commentary/dp/1880582287/ref=sr_1_2?s=books&).

Those who decided which books would be included in the Tanakh, the same ones who carefully preserved the writings of the Tanakh, must also therefore be regarded as the trustworthy preservers of the record of the rulings of the Sanhedrin. If they can not be trusted with regard to the rulings of the ancient Sanhedrin - which are the words of men, then how can we trust them concerning Tanakh and Torah - the words of God and his prophets?

The only record that even claims to represent the rulings of the ancient Sanhedrin is Talmudic literature (not later Orthodox Jewish compositions). IF there were to exist

two or more opposing traditions as to what the historical record for the ancient Sanhedrin is, then there is reason to debate authenticity. But when only one record exists and only it makes the claim, there's simply no reason to doubt (unless, of course, there is something that should reasonably make one wonder... and even then, it should only cast doubt on the matter which makes one wonder... not on the entire record.)

A person doesn't throw the baby out with the bathwater unless he simply wants to doubt so as to free himself from obligation and create his own bible religion, among the myriads that already exist in rebellion against the ancient Court.

**SHA'UL** responded at 8:36pm: Well, it looks like I have a lot to respond to, if I am to show myself worthy of this discussion and my reputation. As always, I will respond to the major points given by Yusef and Asher. Any responses to my work here, I ask for the same respect, to not skip over **major points, especially some I have already brought up but which were ignored.**

**To start, I would like to point out most importantly that Yusef worships "God," and Asher worships "G-d." Not once did Asher or Yusef mention the Name of YaH. No disrespect intended, but it is my belief and proclamation that Orthodox Jews do not worship the Mighty One of the Turah (Scripture). They substitute His Name, they do not swear by His Name YaH, and they break their own Negative Commandment of their "Mishneh Torah" by worshipping "God" and "Lord" and "HaShem" instead of YaH. So we aren't exactly on the same page about worship, the same Creator, the Most High YaH. Should we allow those who worship the false "God" to teach us about Scripture? Or seek to join the authority of their fold?**

**No one responded to the substitution and avoidance of the Name of YaH, nor to the false worship of "God."**

Getting down to the dirt of this thread, what Yusef posted is quite elementary. **Anyone can read the lineage given in the Mishneh Torah regarding the High Court of 71. I have read the lineage and thought about it. To some people, that is like the lineage of Yeshua/Jesus... nice on paper to read but it doesn't really prove anything. What Geno (and I) asked for was proof that the Sanhedrin remained pure and essentially transitioned into modern-day Judaism. Asher admitted there was corruption with the Sanhedrin at some point, "I will not doubt that members of this great court occasionally fell into wickedness." Thanks for telling the truth Asher. Asher went on to say that it would have to be "36" of them to make a difference, but since Asher didn't actually quote any hard facts or bring any proof to the table, we would have been better off with silence.**

**Yusef and Asher had no response.**

I believe Geno to be an honest male, and assume his question to be asked out of

honest searching as well, so please don't waste our time further unless there are some hard facts given. And don't change the subject, although I will also address your digressions Yusef and Asher. We are talking about the original leadership given in the Turah (Law) and whether or not it became corrupted. I believe it is quite evident that they were corrupted after the giving of the Turah (Scripture)... hence all the punishments and judgments given by the Most High. Yet even though YaH punished His people and the corrupt leadership, yet He did not allow His Turah (Scripture) to go in the trash, nor for the corrupt leadership and the scribes to neglect their duty to preserve the message of truth. But thankfully YaH said He would restore the ancient paths, His Name, and His Turah (Scripture) in the future. Not likely by Bibi or the Israeli leadership, but by people in the grass roots who simply follow YaH and what He says.

**Asher had no response.**

I posted on my own profile things from the *Mishneh Torah* which Orthodox Jews don't even hold to or keep. - Note on the profile of Sha'ul, dated 6/26/2012 Roman date - "Is Orthodox Judaism accepting converts? Not if they were reading their own Talmud muddy teaching:

Evil after evil will come upon those who accept converts. Babylonian Talmud, tractate Yebamot, page 109B (10th line on page)

No converts to Judaism will be accepted in the era of the Messiah. Babylonian Talmud, tractate Yebamot, page 24B, (20th line on page)

No converts to Judaism were accepted in the era of King David and King Solomon. Babylonian Talmud, tractate Yebamot, page 24B, (21st line on page)."

In reality, it doesn't even matter if there was a pure Sanhedrin or if they were the ones to give us the "Mishneh Torah," fact is that Orthodox Jews don't follow it anyhow. Orthodox Judaism does not practice what it preaches apparently, and contradicts itself along with the commands of the Turah (Scripture). **Asher had no response.**

Getting to Asher, who wrote: "The Mitzvah (oral law) is only a commentary on Torah... In other words its here only to amplify Torah and make it keep-able in a unified manner..." Oh really Asher? Well then how is it that pretty much all Orthodox Jews never question or go against "The Mitzvah" as you call it? Hardly any of them question separation of meat and dairy or any of the things I have talked with you about in private. So don't preach that these are simply SUGGESTIONS that rabbis give, or which most Jews follow. **Asher had no response.**

Now on to Asher's comments and questions. Asher's words are in quotes.

"Who taught you how to circumcise?" Well, it certainly wasn't a Jewish mohel. Because in Scripture, cutting the foreskin means one cut of the foreskin, not a 20 minute modern process in which all skin is removed around the head of the penis. But I won't get into that with you. In short, Jewish "circumcision" is not the same as the oath of Abraham to simply cut the FOREskin. **Asher had no response.**

"The real question we should ask is what are the consequences of not holding by a unified opinion, and what are the consequences of holding by the way Judaism has held for almost 3000 years (by a Sanhedrin)..."

This shows where Asher's head is at. It isn't about truth, it is about unity. So if we can all simply come together and take a vote that we are going to wear black suits and ties and hats, then I guess that is what it will be. "Judaism has held for almost 3000 years" is what we were trying to prove in this original post of Geno's. Is the Talmud and "halachah" of the rabbis really 3000 years old.? Would M'shiah (Moses) approve of a box with the letter shin on his door? Would he be wearing a black hat and suit and tie? In truth, modern Judaism is little older than New Testament religion. Most Orthodox Rabbis will admit that. The Talmud wasn't even placed in writing till after New Testament religion was established.

**Asher had no response. It is obvious that the "halachah" which Meza refers to is in fact NOT "3000 years old."**

"ultimately have nothing theologically packaged to hand over to the next generation.." My children bow before the Most High singing Y'shiah Yahu (Isa.) 6:3 every morning and night. They know the cycles of the moon much better than you do I'm sure, which is important for festival days. I never seen any posts on your wall about the New Moon or any such thing. Correct me if I'm wrong, I might have overlooked it. We recite the Sh'mai (Deut. 6:4-9) and the 10 Commands every day. We have regular family time and observe the commands of the Most High. We read and do practical lessons from the Torah (Scripture) every day. Every day is a package our children are growing in righteousness. I sure don't have them watching bad movies, video games or being brainwashed in public education. So I'm sure they'll do fine.

**Asher had no response. He deludes himself into thinking that the only people who have structure to follow Yah and His TaNaK are those who subscribe to Orthodoxy.**

"They will do what everyone else does and what man was created to do, mainly to follow.. In other words they will most likely become Muslim or Christian and nullify all your hard work." I suppose time will tell Asher. Everyone has a choice. Looks to me like you are a "follower" yourself, simply following Orthodox Judaism. My wife and children are not followers, trust me. I don't have time to get into details, but we have long conversations about things and why. I never forced my wife to believe what I believe

either. It was clear as day to her in Scripture. I'm sure she could speak on these issues as well.

Asher had no response.

"Live life on a self interpreted ritualistic system." Oh please expand on this one Asher. What is it that you mean? And why is that bad? If you are referring to me, I certainly don't "self-interpret" anything. I read what is in Scripture and follow. You want a self-interpretation? How about reading in Scripture where it says to write the commands on your doorpost, and instead you simply place a box with a shin on it? How about reading in Scripture that the 15th of the 1st month, and the 2nd month, and the 3rd month was Sh'bayt (Sabbath) in Sh'moot (Ex.) 12-19:1 and yet your Sh'bayt (Sabbath) very seldom lands on those numerical dates? You want to condemn people for that, fine, doesn't matter to me. Bring something challenging to the table and we'll talk.

Asher had no response.

"if we are not united in areas of Torah that need clarification." Please give an example that needs clarification and we will talk about its importance in the grand scheme of Turah (Law) minutia and whether it makes a difference or not, and what we can do about it. Perhaps we'll start with the Name of YaH or the false "God." Maybe then at least we could worship together.

Asher had no response.

"I always wondered how can someone call themselves a Karaite or the like and at the same time rely on so much interpretation by the sages. For example I noticed you not only hold by Torah as your guide.. Who do you think canonized the Torah? in other words who decided what books to leave in and which ones to take out?" Asher, I never called myself a "Karaite." Please do not address me by that term again. Nor do I go by "interpretation by the sages." Please provide an example if you think I do. I accept the Turah (Scripture) on the basis of archeology and history, much of this is shared about my reasons for belief and a Creator in my book, *The Path of the Most High*. There is a big difference between accepting the truth of the Turah (Scripture) and accepting modern-day Judaism or the authority of "rabbinical halachah." As I stated in my first response to Geno's original post here, which still has not had any response, is quite simple. I doubt very much that any Orthodox Jew will address what I stated in my original response. I'm going to quote it again to be clear. "In truth though, let us pretend and assume that there was a legitimate leadership. The moment that said leadership asks us to transgress the Turah (Law) and adding to it and taking away from it, such as separating meat from dairy, then that is the moment we need to turn to YaH and His Turah alone. A rabbi here in Hamilton, along with numerous Jews, said to my face that originally the weekly cycle was by the moon, not the Roman 7th day as Sh'bayt (Sabbath). Is this not what YaH said? Do not add or take away from the Turah? When a person forbids us to speak and exalt the

Name of YaH, is that not breaking the 3rd commandment? Does it not bring ruin to His Name, causing His people to forget His Name for "God?" Asher Meza, you have admitted to me on the phone that the Sanhedrin or rabbis changed the Sh'bayt (Sabbath) from the cycles of the moon to the Roman 7th day known as Saturday. So you admit that there is adding and taking away from the law by rabbis. I accept the Turah (Scripture), not apocryphal writings, because I have actually read the apocryphal writings and they are as erroneous as the Talmud, contradicting the Turah (Scripture). But let us agree at least that YaH gave us the Turah (Scripture), and that as abominable as the leadership of Y'shr'Al (Israel) was, that we have had it preserved, as the Dead Sea Scrolls give evidence of.

Asher had no response.

"Who taught you how to read hebrew?" I taught myself, using modern Masoretic niqud, and then taking it a step further and changing the script of the Turah (Scripture) back to Aibreit (Hebrew) picture letters.

"All these things we do and believe because of the authoritative ceremonial Tradition of the sages..." I disagree. SOME things we do, like read the modern canon of the Turah (Scripture), due to the preservation of those who DARED NOT mess with the message of Truth to a large degree. This is not the same as obeying every jot and tittle of traditions and commands that do not originate with Scripture.

Asher had no response.

"How could you ever eat something slaughtered at someone else house when you will both have a different opinion on how it should be slaughtered?" Asher, we don't eat at other people's houses, and we eat very little meat. - Note - If we do eat at other people's homes, we mostly eat vegetarian or meats which we know to be clean and organic, without blood. Scripture is clear that meat, if consumed, must fall in the "clean" category, and it must be slit at the neck and bled out, since we do not eat the blood. It says nothing of needing the rabbi to kiss it or label it "kosher" at a price that he pockets. Nor does it say that you have to salt it to death. In short, I likely would never eat Jewish "kosher" meat. By the way, for some reason people think GMO or un-naturally raised meat is "kosher" simply because it is killed properly? That is messed up.

Asher had no response.

"Who will your children marry?" Hopefully someone who loves them and respects their religious beliefs and way of life, even if they are in disagreement on minor issues. I pray they make the right choice and I can only give my advice on who I think would be a decent candidate. It is their life though, and I wouldn't join a club so that my children get to be the same as everyone else in the club. That only means to suppress your thoughts

and grievances, never voice them or change anything.

"Where will you congregate?" We assemble fine right in the comfort of our living room, not cooking or doing numerous other things some people do on THEIR "Sabbath." Did you know that rabbis say you can have sex on "Sabbath" because it is the greatest joy... but according to Turah (Law) that would make you unclean. They also say that a "hot" or cooked meal is essential. Yet we are to prepare our meals prior to the Sh'bayt (Sabbath) according to Turah (Law).

Asher had no response. We would probably be fairly accurate to assume that Asher Meza has sex on his Roman 7th day Saturday Sabbath, smoking a cigar when he is finished, followed by a hot and freshly cooked meal... that is, if any of these things are what he believes is acceptable "halachah" from the rabbis.

"What prayers will you say?" I have numerous Scriptures and prayers for different festivals. Want all my secrets? lol.

Asher had no response.

"How does one honor their parents?" Read Scripture, there are TONS of examples. You don't need to read some lengthy tome by a rabbi's "halachah" to find out. Read the Turah (Law).

Asher had no response.

"What are the guidelines for what is idolatry?" Scripture is also very clear on this, no rabbi "hilchot" needed.

As for the disbanding of the Sanhedrin, you didn't give a date for that. Nor have you proven that certain things in modern Judaism today go back to that.

Now on to Yusef.

"The last Great Sanhedrin that had authority directly from the Torah ceased to exist when this Court ceased convening on the Temple Mount. This occurred some time around 70 C.E." Thank you Yusef. Having said that, even the New Testament reveals that Sh'bayt (Sabbath) was still kept by the moon. Those Greek documents date to the first century also. It is plain also by the Roman calendars at that time that they didn't even have a 7 day repeating weekly cycle until close to the 3rd century CE. As for other things that modern day Judaism does which are not in accord with the Turah (Scripture), I can't say how much of it goes back to the Sanhedrin and what doesn't. As I said earlier though, the Sanhedrin was not allowed to add or take away from the Turah (Law). YaH will punish those who do so... eventually.

Yusef had no response.

"Those who decided which books would be included in the Tanakh, the same ones who carefully preserved the writings of the Tanakh, must also therefore be regarded as the trustworthy preservers of the record of the rulings of the Sanhedrin." Okay, so **what rulings specifically?** Let us get into examples and see if they are in line with the Turah (Law) or not.

**Yusef had no response.**

"Free himself from obligation and create his own bible religion." **I am not free of obligation. As one who fears YaH and His Scriptures, I am obligated to obey Scripture. I am not allowed to create my own religion. That is what Judaism did.** I can't speak for others.

**Yusef had no response.**

I think that covers all the comments thus far. Interesting conversation. **I pray that people will follow YaH and His Turah (Scripture) alone.**