Website Launch and Refute New Testament

By Sha'ul bayn Yahukhenun ha Yahudah

Copyright 2013©

The Way of the Most High

''Exalting belief in our Father, the Most High 𐤉𐤄𐤅𐤄 (YaHUAH), through His Turah, the 5 scrolls of M'shih (Moses).''

Email – followyah@gmail.com
Website – www.thewayofthemosthigh.ca

9th Month, 27th Day (12/1/2013 B'bayl/Roman date/Year of our Captivity)

Sh'loom (Peace) Friends,

Finally our website is complete. It represents the Most High and His Turah (5 scrolls of M'shih (Moses) alone. There are roughly 200 people on our website ministry mailing list (as of 9/6/2009). When we finally completed our website reflecting the Most High and His Turah (Scripture) alone, we were amazed at the amount of people who have been struggling to keep belief in the "New Testament" in spite of what they read in the Turah (Scripture), and how they feel a drawing upon themselves to join with us. Indeed, around the world, there is a growing tide of people like my wife and I. Maybe you are one of these people who sense something is wrong with the "New Testament," but you can't place your finger on it.

Over 5 years ago (2007) we were not mature and ready enough to come to grips with the problems of the so-called "Anointed Son" and the "New Testament." For a great deal of time, Alish'bai and I prayed to the Most High 𐤉𐤄𐤅𐤄 (YaHUAH) only, as we studied Scripture like never before. We pleaded with our Father to help us to know if our prayers and worship were an abomination for including "Yeshua/Jesus" in those prayers and worship as our "High Priest," "Passover Lamb," through his blood and in his name. In our website section on *What's Wrong with the New Testament*, we provide answers to that questioning prayer.

The more that we read the Turah (Law), the more that we wondered about the writings of the "New Testament." We wondered "Are we interpreting rightly? Perhaps there is a second fulfillment of this text in 'Yahushua,' even though the original context is different." But the more that we studied the so-called quotations from the Turah (Law) in the "New Testament," the more we found the "New Testament" to be lying. In the majority of cases, we found that the "New Testament" quotes half a verse, pulls it out of its original context and subject matter, changes a few words and applies it to what the "New Testament" writer intends us to believe. Things originally applying to a person or the Most High Himself were taken to apply to "Yahushua/Jesus the Anointed Son."

Some people think that if a person rejects the "New Testament," then they will certainly end up rejecting the Turah (Scripture), ending up with nothing. We have talked about this issue with others and we have noticed that there are certainly a lot of people who have rejected the "New Testament." Indeed, some proceed to reject belief in the Turah (Scripture) or become Egyptian myth-believers, claiming that Egyptian religions is older... or other near-east, asian or indian religions. Or obviously they may become atheists. Certainly there are discrepancies with the Turah (Scripture) which may lead some people down this road, or what is called the "historical critical" method. But there is something we should note about this. The problems with the Turah (Scripture) are not even close to the multitudinous problems of the "New Testament." Reasoning that because there are problems with the Turah (Scripture), therefore people can keep the "New Testament" with all its problems, is not sound reasoning. The "New Testament" claims to be founded upon the Law and Government of YaH as given in the Turah, and therefore one must first believe in the Turah (Law) of M'shih (Moses). One can believe in the Turah (Law) and not believe in the "New Testament." But one cannot believe in the "New Testament" without belief in the Turah (Law), since the foundation of the "New

Testament" is claimed to reside in the Turah (Law). Moreover, YaH said that you must not add or take away from the Turah (Law) (D'bayrim (Deut.) 4:2).

Having gone through this change to the Most High and the Turah (Scripture) alone, we have thought about the route in which YaH brought us to this belief and way of life. While many people go from heathen to "Jew," there has been a greater purpose to the route which the Almighty took us through. We don't consider ourselves "Jews," but we do consider ourselves "Yahudim," meaning "worshippers of YaH." In Israel today on national identification they write "Yahudi" as "religion." And if those people, who follow traditions as commandments opposed to YaH, can call themselves "Yahudim," then certainly we can. First YaH brought us into Christianity, then Messianic Jews or "Notsrim (Nazarenes)" or whatever you would like to call it, and now He has brought us to Him alone and His Turah (Scripture). Why? Because if it wasn't for this route, maybe we would have been deceived by modern-day Judaism, the rabbis, etc. But in this path that the Most High has led us, the Most High caused us to doubt the "Church Fathers" first, the "rabbinate" also, and then to see the falsehood in trying to compromise "New Testament" with the Turah (Scripture). Finally, He brought us to follow the narrow path that is seldom traveled. It is a path which takes the Turah (Scripture) as it is, in its original language and context, and actually lives it! It is one which refuses to convert to "Judaism" with its problems, but follow the Most High and the Turah (Scripture) alone. We do not claim to be of the twelve tribes of Y'shr'Al (Israel), and unless one can prove such by their fathers' lineage, they should not claim tribal title, and be satisfied as a "follower of YaH."

We suggest you order a copy of the Koren Jerusalem Bible: Hebrew/English Scriptures. It only comes in a 3 volume package but if you can get the "Tora" only first volume that is our suggestion. That is the best we can refer to while we are working towards publishing our own version of the Turah (Scripture) using the ancient script, modern Aibreet (Hebrew) in the center and an accurate English translation. It has taken me close to a year (as of 6/2009 Roman date), but now I can read the text of Scripture in Aibreet (Hebrew script), both in the modern Masoretic and trace it back to ancient Aibreet (Hebrew) as we use in our website and articles. This truly does wonders for our understanding of how words were changed in the "New Testament," as well as the placement of words in the original text which were changed, and where the subject of the text was changed to apply to "Yeshua/Jesus" or his followers, etc. If you consult our articles, you will find that we place the original words beside the English words, and we believe our articles should be enough for anyone to leave the deception of the "New Testament."

Now outside of the New Testament, if "Yeshua/Jesus" was a historical figure, you would expect that people would have written about this issue. Apparently the evidence is that there is no evidence, except from a mythological perspective, such as in *Shattering the Christ Myth*, *Forgery in Christianity*, *The Jesus Mysteries*, *The Jesus Puzzle*, *Christ in Egypt: The Horus-Jesus Connection* and others. Strangely enough, there are preachers who have taught and are teaching that because there is no proof that the resurrection did not occur, then we should believe. Their view is that there is a great conspiracy to cover it up. They teach that if Yeshua/Jesus didn't rise up, Christianity would not have arisen. This of course is where Alish'bai and I went wrong for close to two years... thinking that this teaching had merit in the past. We now know it is like searching for the proverbial

"needle in the haystack." It isn't there. Preachers are admitting there is no historical evidence that Pontius Pilate executed such a person, or that such a person rose from the dead. There is no historical evidence that N'tsarat (Nazareth) was a populated hamlet or town in the first century when “Yeshua/Jesus” supposedly walked and talked in the land of Y'shr'Al (land of Israel). These are a few of the issues with the lack of evidence in history and archaeology that we could list here.

Coming to grips with Paul as being a false “apostle” who taught people that it was okay to eat food offered to idols (1 Corinthians chapter 8) was a great stepping stone for us, and if you believe in the “New Testament” and the writings of Paul, we pray you will consider our article on *Paul: Apostle of the Stumbling-block* as a start on this journey of questioning the "New Testament."

Sh'loom,

Sha’ul and Alish'bai