

# Revelation Stealing and Fiction

By Sha'ul bayn Yahukhenun ha Yahudah

Copyright 2012©



“Exalting belief in our Father, the Most High יהוה (YaHUAH), through His TaNaK (falsely called the ‘Old Testament’).”

Email – followyah@gmail.com  
Website – [www.thewayofthemosthigh.ca](http://www.thewayofthemosthigh.ca)

# Warning!

New Testament believers will likely be offended with this article, as it confounds all belief in the coming Yeshua/Jesus, how Revelation steals words out of context from the TaNaK (OT), blasphemes the Most High by saying Yehsua/Jesus is the first and the last, eliminates future prophecy on the House of YaH and so forth. But if people want the truth on Revelation, they would be careful to read this. Anyone who is willing to go on the record and debate this with us is free to email us.

It is a fact that there is not an ounce of confirmed prophecy in Revelation that is NEW to the writings of Revelation. Very little of Revelation is new material not stolen from the TaNaK. But, remember that should there be a prophecy or two in Revelation that does come to pass, this doesn't mean that John was a true prophet. And we guarantee that nothing in Revelation WILL come to pass, with the exception of what is stolen from the TaNaK. YaH said He would send lying prophets to try Y'shr'Al (Israel), as to whether they will stray from Him and His TaNaK (OT) or not (See 1 Melakim (Kings) 22:23). John is seen as a prophet to most. True or false prophet?

One thing is certain. 90% of everything in Revelation has its roots in the writings of Y'shaiYahu (Isaiah), Y'khezq'Al (Ezekiel), Mikah (Micah), Yu'Al (Joel), Dani'Al, and other places of the TaNaK. Now let us assume that the New Testament writers came up with something really great which is not found in the TaNaK. We have to ask: "Is the prophecy specific and something which could not normally be predicted? Or is it something anyone could have predicted? Is it something that has come to pass and can be proven?" So far, none of the prophecies of Revelation have come to pass from what we have seen.

Revelation 1-3 is about the "7 churches." As Christianity formed and spread through Asia, this certainly reflects that period. Anyone who studied the rise and fall of kingdoms could have predicted what happened to those 7 places mentioned in chapters 1-3. **Nothing Yeshua/Jesus says to Ephesos can be verified** as prophetic and having taken place. All that can be verified is that the teaching of the Nicolaitans has been found in such place. What about Smyrna? Any significant prophecy there? No. **It is all ambiguous talk with the insertion of some guy named Antipas dying for Yeshua/Jesus and that they ate food offered to idols. That isn't prophecy.** This continues through the first 3 chapters of Revelation.

Revelation chapter 4 talks of the 7 spirits, the 24 elders and the throne of YaH. Depending on whether you are Trinitarian or not, which New Testament writers were, that is difficult to explain and there are a number of conflicting commentaries on Revelation 4. **The four living creatures of Rev. 4:8-9 were lifted from the writings of Y'khezq'Al (Ezek.) 1:1-19.** Still, there is no prophecy in Revelation chapter 4 at all. Revelation chapter 5 is an imaginary tale of Yeshua/Jesus grabbing the scroll with seven seals on it. Sure people believe this has actually happened or will happen, but they have no way of verifying it. **So there is still no prophecy in Revelation that can be verified by history and archaeology up until the end of chapter 5.**

Revelation chapter 6 enters the **4 horse-riders.** This idea is **stolen from the**

prophecy of ZakarYaH (Zech.) 1:8-10 and 1:20-21. Only the New Testament writer changed the colour of a few horses, rounded it off to 4 horse-riders and attempted to create a story with it. Still, there are confusing interpretations of what these horses represent, how this prophecy of Revelation 6 was fulfilled or whether it is futuristic. Whereas the prophecy of ZakarYaH 1:8-10 seems to have already taken place, being a part of the 70 year prophecy of Dani'Al. In any case, the point is that the writers of Revelation lifted the ideas from ZakarYaH and the prophecy of Revelation 6 has not been proven historically or archeologically to have taken place, and is given numerous assumptive explanations. Sorry, we haven't seen the prophecy of a third of the stars fall to our planet yet, or people running for the mountains to hide from Yeshua/Jesus (Rev.) 6:17. Oh right... that is supposed to happen in the future. How could we have forgotten such an important detail?

Revelation 7 is all future prophecy as well. Nothing that can be confirmed. The idea is that there are 144,000 people sealed of the 12 tribes of Y'shr'Al (Israel). Now think about that for a second. Only 144,000 people are going to be sealed and spared from the horrible punishments, diseases, fire and hail that Revelation claims YaH is going to send? Don't you think that is cruel and unusual punishment upon our planet and its inhabitants? What ever happened to the prophecies of the TaNaK which state that the gentiles, nations and Y'shr'Al will come to repentance and observance of YaH's feasts when YaH and His House are amongst us? For proof on those REAL prophecies, read our article on *One Mighty One, His House and Future Offerings*. John said that there is NO HOUSE OF YAH COMING! Oops... John must have made a big blunder there in Revelation 21:22. Revelation 7 continues with ambiguity and future prophecy which has not taken place.

Revelation 8. Finally the last seal is opened and there is silence above for half an hour (whatever that is supposed to mean). Professors make a big deal out of this and again have come up with varying interpretations of their wacky imaginations. The thing about the New Testament is that it is always taken into the realm of what is "mystical, symbolic" or "spiritual." You have to be SO spiritual to understand the hidden meaning of it all. People can't exactly show you where in the TaNaK YaH said that He had a son at His right hand that He created all things through, and of how He was going to kill him to redeem His people. But the claim is that if you are SO spiritual, you can see it hidden all over the place in the TaNaK. But yet they have no answer to future offerings and prophecy about the future House of YaH. Revelation 8 continues with some incense being made, with the prayers of Yeshua/Jesus followers. That may sound nice and wonderful, but there is no prophecy here to be verified by history or archaeology or anything that should impress those who know the TaNaK. Then there are 7 messengers/angels with 7 trumpets. New Testament writers stole that idea from Yahushua (Joshua) chapter 6, with the 7 priests and 7 trumpets who went before the ark of YaH. So the New Testament writers did know their TaNaK, and they simply lift a few ideas from it here and there and make a fictitious story about something that cannot be verified by us, like the "Heavenly Temple ministry of Yeshua/Jesus." When did a third of the creatures in the sea die? We would be extinct by now if that happened. When did a third of the waters become poisoned? The population of our planet would be cut in half or less. When did a star fall in the water and kill off all of this? When did the seas become blood? When did a third of the stars fall to our planet? Oh I forgot, all of this must be

future. So what you're telling me is that the New Testament has nothing to verify it historically, and all of its great claims are supposedly future?

Revelation 9. Again, nothing here that can be confirmed. All I have heard before is that the "locusts" that came up from the pit were the Monguls. Seriously, one would have to admit that is quite funny. So far none of Revelation 9 makes much of any sense, nor can it be verified by history and archaeology. Again we encounter numerous interpretations which you can take your pick from. Messengers/angels have not killed a third of our planet's population, as verse 15 says will happen. Another third is supposed to die from diseases in verse 18. And sadly, it is prophesied that all the rest of the people that are left refuse to repent. So they are eliminated also. Does that seem logical from a historical perspective? Historically speaking, when plagues and diseases came from YaH like as to M'tsrayim (Egypt), people repented and YaH didn't destroy everyone. Seems like John has a different mighty one in mind. Certainly he did, as **Yeshua/Jesus the Destroyer comes on a horse with a sword and causes all to die by it Islamic style (Rev. 19:21).** That is why its different.

Revelation 10 talks of a little scroll/book, with a person that looks like someone described in Dani'Al (Daniel) 10:6. **Excepting that in the vision of Revelation, it is NOT the figure of Dani'Al (Dan.) 10:6... surprise, it is Yeshua/Jesus.** Of course. Once again, John can't resist the urge to plagiarize the TaNaK by lifting a concept or two from the prophet Dani'Al (Daniel). **Revelation 10 continues to say that there were seven thunders, to which numerous pastors have claimed they have the hidden interpretation for.** Then the 7th messenger/angel asks John to eat the little scroll/book and it was bitter in his stomach but sweet like honey in his mouth (Rev. 10:8-10). This was **stolen from Y'khezq'Al (Ezek.) 3:1-3.**

Revelation 11 talks about a measuring rod, stealing from **ZakarYaH (Zech.) 2.** Same is found in Y'ramYahu (Jer.) 31:39 and Y'khezq'Al (Ezek.) 40:3. The measuring line of linen thread is a concept which the prophets used. **John steals it and makes a prophecy that cannot be verified.** He talks of two witnesses and he steals from the story of Al'Yahu who called down fire on people that came to harm him (**2 Melakim (Kings) 1:10-14**). And he also **stole from the story of M'shih (Moses) who turned water into blood (Sh'moot (Ex.) 7:17).** So John predicts that M'shih (Moses) and Al'Yahu (Elijah) are coming again and will do these things. We do not see this in the prophecies of the TaNaK. **We only see it prophesied that Al'Yahu (Elijah) is coming (Mel'aki (Mal.) 4:5).** Verse 13 says a great earthquake happened and a tenth of the city fell and 7000 were killed. Big deal. What happened to Sumatra or Haiti or Indonesia again? Much bigger tragedies. How is this "great earthquake" a valid prophecy in line with anything in the TaNaK (OT) that we ought to see as trustworthy?

Revelation 12 talks of a lady clothed with the sun, moon under her feet, and a crown of twelve stars. This seems to be stolen from **B'rasheet (Gen.) 37:9**, where Yusap (Joseph) **likens his father to the sun, his mother to the moon, and the 11 stars to his brothers.** John twists the concept a little and revises it to be Y'shr'Al (Israel) as a lady, clothed with the sun, standing on the moon, with a crown of 12 stars supposedly representing the 12 tribes. The thing John is trying to do is present that idea that Y'shr'Al (Israel) is a lady, which is the case in some prophecies in the TaNaK (OT). What makes Revelation different is that this pictures Y'shr'Al (Israel) getting pregnant, and along came Yeshua/Jesus and his twelve followers (verses 2, 5-6, etc.). **In reality, John is**



making a prophetic story based on his belief in Yeshua and the followers of Yeshua vs. Satan. But you can't make up a prophecy AFTER THE FACT. Prophecies are given BEFORE the event. The thing is, we don't have a prophecy like this in the TaNaK, so the New Testament had to make it up to confirm their beliefs. By the way, we know that the "devil" as a rebellious fallen messenger/angel at war with the Most High is a New Testament concept, nowhere found in the TaNaK. See our article on *The Rebellion that Never Was (Sh'then (Satan))*. This also shows the falsehood of John, and that his prophecies are not in line with the truth of the TaNaK. The rest of Revelation 12 is Mika'Al (Michael) fighting the New Testament's "Satan," which of course no one can see or verify.

Now we come to Revelation 13. A beast comes out of the sea with seven heads, ten horns and ten crowns on the horns. Here, the writer of Revelation 13 is stealing again from the prophecies of Dani'Al, this time from chapter 7. The writer of Revelation copies the details almost exactly, excepting that in Dani'Al there are four separate beasts describing four different reigns (kingdoms). In Revelation 13 the writer combines these thoughts into one beast made up of different animals based on Greco-Roman myths. The Greeks believed in an mythological creature called the "chimera." So now the writer of Revelation is stealing from Dani'Al 7 and pawning off Greco-Roman falsehood as well. He again inserts the "dragon" as "Satan" the rebellious messenger/angel who uses this beast to rule the world. The insertion of the "dragon" was not in the original prophecy of Dani'Al 7, and is a great falsehood. However, Revelation 13 is one of the few chapters which actually contain a measure of truth, and only because historically and archaeologically it is stolen from Dani'Al 7. Indeed, the reign of the leopard, bear, lion, and exceedingly scary beast of Dani'Al 7 took place through B'bayl (Babylon - lion), and the dominions that followed it. But that is the only thing that Dani'Al 7 and Revelation 13 have in common. The rest of Revelation 13 is adding to the Scriptures of YaH which in and of itself is a great crime. Now with regards to the beasts in the prophecy of Dani'Al 7, there is an explanation as to who they refer to in Dani'Al 8:19 and onward. But in Revelation 13, there is no explanation to the chimera-beast John supposedly sees, and there are numerous confusing explanations to this as well. The most common is to hurl all the bad stuff in Revelation 13 onward on the shoulders of the Catholic church and Rome. The writer of Revelation simply reflects the first century time period and has made no great prophecy here. Whoever wrote Revelation simply read Dani'Al and wanted to castigate Rome for their worldwide power, idolatry and forced conversions at the point of sword.

The religion of Mithras and unity with the message of Yeshua/Jesus had obviously mingled with Roman emperor worship, the pagans of the Greco-Roman empire, and had spread throughout the world by the time that Revelation was written. In point of fact, most scholars agree that to say Revelation was written in 100CE is even an early date for its authorship, and an even later date is more likely. By 100CE Catholicism/Christianity was basically the religion of Rome, although not confirmed as such until Constantine in the 3rd century CE. As to the so-called "mark of the beast" in the right hand or forehead as Christians rant and rave about, we have yet to see such a thing. We find no prophecy of this "mark" in the TaNaK. However, in ancient times it was common to mark slaves on their hands or foreheads, and the writer of Revelation simply added this in to make it seem like it would be a great prophecy for such a thing to

happen in the future. How hard would it be to predict that ruling governments of our world would enslave us? Such has been since the beginning (B'rasheet - Genesis). And as to the "number of the beast" (Rev. 13:18), the writer of Revelation simply uses a well known number thought from Aibreit (Hebrew). The number 6 in Aibreit (Hebrew script) is represented by the 6th day of creation in which Adawm (man) was created. Thus, all that the writer of Revelation is saying is that all of us will be enslaved, excepting of course those who refuse such enslavement. But honestly, who could be condemned for being forcibly marked or enslaved? YaH never condemned His people for being in slavery. So far we encounter nothing original to the writer of Revelation, and nothing really specific in terms of prophecy. And so far nothing that can be verified as historically valid confirmation of prophecy, excepting for his stealing from Dani'Al 7.

Revelation 14. More folk tales of Yeshua/Jesus doing stuff up above that no one on our planet in the here and now can verify. More future prophecy that has not happened yet. People being redeemed and victorious over the beast described in Revelation 13, having a grand old time. Then comes the announcing of judgment upon the world in verse 8. Revelation 14:8 is lifted from Y'ramYahu (Jer.) 51, most specifically verses 6-9. However, if we read the original prophecy of Y'ramYahu (Jer.) 51, the scope of the prophecy and specifics of it are much greater than the folk lore John has turned it into. All he did was lift a verse from Y'ramYahu 51:8 and then made up his own story of what he wishes would happen. He imagines a messenger/angel who goes out to warn people not to get this mark, or fire is going to come down on their heads before Yeshua/Jesus. Apparently the only people able to endure what is coming are those who "keep the commands of YaH and believe in Yeshua/Jesus." Well that is an oxymoron, since those who believe in Yeshua/Jesus do not obey the commands of YaH, nor can they. One of the commands of YaH is not to worship any other mighty one (alahym). Now as to the concluding verses of Revelation 14 regarding the sickle and reaping the harvest and the winepress of YaH's wrath, that is simply another text stolen from Yu'Al (Joel) 3:13 specifically. This is not original prophecy from John. He simply copied a couple verses out of the writings of the prophets of the TaNaK.

Revelation 15. The idea of seven diseases/plagues is taken from Wa'y'qora (Lev.) 26:21 which in itself is a prophecy of what would happen to YaH's people if they stray from Him. But this has not occurred yet, and people are of course assuming it will. But YaH did not say that this punishment would indeed occur. He warned that these things will happen if His people stray. Of course, the victors in Revelation 15 are none other than those who worship the Lamb (vs. 3). Here we have more talk of the Dwelling Place (Meshkan - Temple) up above, and more assumptions of what is going on up there. Or rather, John claims to know exactly what is going on.

Revelation 16. More condemnation on those who accept the mark of enslavement to the beast power, resulting in sores on people, sea becoming blood, everything in the sea dying and rivers becoming blood. Again, these are things that are prophesied by the TaNaK and John simply steals them from places like Y'khezq'Al (Ezek.) 32:4 onward or Y'shaiYahu (Isa.) 15:6-9. So waters becoming blood is prophesied by the TaNaK. Nothing unique or original here with John in Revelation. As to Rev. 16:8, the idea of the sun burning people with fire is new to John. But YaH said in the TaNaK that He would be sending fire, blood and hail in Yu'Al (Joel) 2:30. So again, nothing new here in Revelation 16. Chapter 16 goes on to talk about more suffering, and then in verses 13-14

the writer talks about unclean frogs and demons coming. This is not based on the TaNaK at all. He then talks of the "great day of YaHUAH Almighty." This is stolen from many places of the TaNaK, for example TsapaynYaH (Zeph.) 1:14. As to the unclean frogs and demons, no Christian scholar has a clue, excepting for their interpretations run wild on modern ideas. The battle of Meg'du (Valley of Megiddo) seems to be taken from 2 Dabri ha'Yoomim (Chron.) 35:22, and specifically ZakarYaH (Zech.) 12:9 onward. However, the picture we see in the true prophecy of ZakarYaH 12 is far greater in its landscape and fullness. Revelation falls far short of the scope of the prophecies of the TaNaK. Instead, Revelation gives only the picture of those who accept Yeshua/Jesus and those who don't, who get burned and destroyed by numerous plagues and such. Revelation 16 continues with such drivel.

Revelation 17. As every Christian scholar knows, the writer of Revelation is here describing Rome as a whore. Something which was done ever since B'bayl (Babylon) according to the prophets of the TaNaK. There is nothing new here. John is offering his own rehash on how he sees it. But, how can John prove those who "are not written in the Book of Life" who "marvel when they see that beast that was, and is not, and yet is" (vs. 8.)? This is like the Qur'an portraying the people of the Book against those who believe in Muhammad, and how if the people of the Book do not submit to Allah and His messenger, they will die. John is making an assumption of who is a part of that, and making stuff up that we cannot verify. Verses 9 to 12 are again based on Dani'Al 7:24. Rip off again. There is no unique and amazing prophecy originating with John here. But there is one thing that John fails miserably at. That is the idea that Yeshua/Jesus, if there ever was such a historical person, is coming back and will fight against the rulers of our planet.

Revelation 18. John models a lament for B'bayl (Babylon) modeled after the many laments for B'bayl that are in the prophets of the TaNaK. Nothing big here. No prophecy that can be verified in history or archaeology. But it is based on the prophecies of the TaNaK in which YaH Himself will punish B'bayl (Babylon).

Revelation 19. John goes back to his fictional stuff, surmising what will happen in the expanse above (heaven) when these events take place in the future, people worshipping the Lamb and getting ready to marry Yeshua/Jesus their lamb. Of course, this is all very contradictory to the TaNaK which states that YaH is the husband of Y'shr'Al (Israel). He divorced Y'shr'Al (Israel) at one point, but He is going to re-marry Y'shr'Al (Israel). Read chapters two and three of Hushai (Hos.) and see for yourself. Y'shr'Al (Israel) is not going to be with any other lover or person or Lamb. Y'shr'Al (Israel), according to the prophet Hushai and other places of the TaNaK, will be the wife of YaH alone. Some people say that Y'shr'Al (Israel) is the bride of YaH, while Christians are the bride of Yeshua/Jesus. That is almost hysterical, since Yeshua/Jesus is supposed to be the deliverance of all the transgressions and shortcomings of Y'shr'Al (Israel), and the New Testament claim is that those who believe in Yeshua/Jesus are the seed of Awbrahaym, and that those who are true Y'shr'Al (Israel) are those who believe in Yeshua/Jesus, and that the majority of early believers in Yeshua/Jesus were FROM Y'shr'Al (Israel). Continuing in Revelation 19, we have a most blasphemous picture presented by John regarding Yeshua/Jesus. John says that he is "dressed in a robe dipped in blood." This is blasphemous because he is stealing from a text that originally applied to YaHUAH, and is now being re-applied to Yeshua/Jesus. He stole from Y'shaiYahu

(Isa.) 63:1-4, where YaHUAH alone treads the winepress of His own wrath and His robe becomes dipped and stained with blood. This is by far one of the most pertinent points that New Testament believers ought to cringe at. This is Trinitarian teaching. This is making Yeshua/Jesus as YaH Himself. Again, John pictures Yeshua/Jesus as "the Word of YaHUAH" in Rev. 19:13 as being the one to come with a sword to go to war with the nations and tread the winepress of YaH's wrath in verses 14-15. YaH says no, He alone treads the winepress of His wrath. Who will you believe? YaH or John. This is blasphemy. Nor will it happen. John wittingly finishes Revelation 19 with birds eating the flesh of the bodies that Yeshua/Jesus slays, and burning the beast and his followers.

Revelation 20. More myths about "Satan." This time "Satan" is bound for a 1000 years. Again, there are tons of interpretations on this, mostly landing on the idea that this will happen in the future. Then those who believe in Yeshua/Jesus are of course the favourite chosen ones of the chapter who get to judge everyone else. Only a New Testament writer could come up with that of course. And they lived happily with Yeshua/Jesus for a 1000 years doing who knows what. John doesn't specify that part. Then "Satan" gets let out again after the 1000 years are up so that he can continue his almost omnipotent reign of evil that New Testament writers seem to believe in. In a most marvelous victory, "Satan" convinces everyone to attack the city of YaH (vs. 9), and he of course gets defeated and everyone with him gets burned up. The only part of this that is true and accurate to the TaNaK is that the wicked will be reduced to ashes by fire according to Mel'aki (Mal.) 4:1-3. But John seems to actually ignore that prophecy, as it is actually referring to YaHUAH (Mel'aki (Mal.) 4:1) as the "Sun of Righteousness" (vs. 2) who reduces the wicked to ashes as a consuming fire that He is. No, John says it is going to be a lake of fire.

Revelation 21 is by far the biggest mistake and blasphemy. The icing on the cake. John sees the renewed expanse and renewed planet (heaven and earth). Then he imagines a city coming out of the sky like some kind of space ship. Here he has completely thrown out what the TaNaK prophesied of the land being renewed and of people building homes and planting vineyards and fruit trees. Poof! Here comes the UFO city. It is of course prepared for Yeshua/Jesus followers only. John doesn't forecast the future Meshkan (Temple) which Y'khezq'Al (Ezek.) 40-48 prophesied about. John basically rips 8 chapters out of his TaNaK and says who cares about the prophet Y'khezq'Al (Ezek.). He also ignores many other texts which say the same, such as ZakarYaH (Zech.) 14:16-21. Verse 20 specifically reveals that the altar and bowls of the priesthood of Y'shr'Al will be active again at that time in YaH's House in Y'rush'lam (Jerusalem). Or how about Y'shaiYahu (Isa.) 66:15-24 where YaH comes as a consuming fire and re-institutes the priesthood of L'wi (Levi) and the offerings once again, causing people to worship Him on Sh'bayt (Sabbath) and New Moon (Rawsh Kh'dash)? Oh no. New Testament believers can't have that. That would mean that Yeshua/Jesus wasn't the "offering to end all offerings." They have done away with such things. So John doesn't see the Meshkan (Temple) in his prophetic writings. Revelation 21 continues in verse 6 with a call to accept the Almighty, and a condemnation upon sorcerers, whores, etc. Then the rest of the chapter is a fairytale about this city that John dreams of. The gentiles can come into it and whoever is in the "Lamb's book of life." And no, there is no "Lamb's book of life" in the TaNaK. It is YaH's scroll of life, for those who believe in Him, as seen in Mel'aki (Mal.) 3:16; Sh'moot (Ex.) 32:32 and Psalm 69:28. Interestingly enough, the New



Testament writers ask us to have life everlasting by believing in Yeshua/Jesus in John 3:16... as opposed to believing in YaH alone and being in His scroll of life as written in Mel'aki (Mal.) 3:16! Which are you going to believe?

Revelation 22 ends with more fictional work and daydreaming. There is a beautiful tree that yields all kinds of fruit year round, with a crystal clear river of glass. No surprise that Yeshua/Jesus is there. In verse 7, Yeshua/Jesus is quoted as saying that we should guard the words of Revelation. This is circular proof. You can't have a self-proclaimed prophet saying that we should believe his prophecies. But it is certain that John knew his stuff would be hard to believe and so he had to pretend that Yeshua/Jesus said we should believe it. Interestingly enough, several times in this chapter Yeshua/Jesus said he was coming soon, "speedily" in verses 7, 12, and 20. Here we are... 2000 years later. That's pretty quick isn't it? Any day now. John finishes off by reminding people that if they don't believe his prophecies and message of Revelation and Yeshua/Jesus, then they will be damned and suffer horribly (vs. 18-19). What a nice way to finish off.